Summary of mutagenicity screening studies, host-mediated assay cytogenetics dominant

Calcium Saccharin

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY SCREENING STUDIES CONTRACT FDA 71-268 COMPOUND FDA 71-2 AMMONIUM SACCHARIN HUST-MEDIATED ASSAY CYTOGENETICS **DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY** 

626

LBI PROJECT #2311

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-2
AMMONIUM SACCHARIN
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

#### SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 7315 WISCONSIN AVENUE BETHESDA, MARYLAND

NOVEMBER 24, 1972





November 24, 1972

Mr. Leonard Appleby, Contracting Officer Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration, CA-212 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5C-13 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Reference: Contract FDA 71-268; LBI Project #2311

Dear Mr. Appleby:

Litton Bionetics, Inc. is pleased to submit a report for the referenced contract entitled "Mutagenicity Screening Studies" for compound FDA 71-2, Ammonium Saccharin.

Included in this report are the results and raw data of the three tests conducted: Host-Mediated Assay; Cytogenetic Studies; and Dominant Lethal Assay. Eight (8) copies are being submitted for your review.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or, if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

LITTON BIONETICS, INC.

Principal Investigator

DPAF:11s Enclosures (8)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

				rage No
I.	REPORT	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 1
	Α.	Introd	luction	. 1
	В.		ive	
	C.		nd	
		1.	Test Material	. Š
		2.	Dosages	. 3
	D.	Method	S	
	Ε.	Summar	у	. 4
		1.	Cytogenetics	4
			a. In vivo	4
			b. <u>In vitro</u>	. 4
		2.	Host-Mediated Assay	. 4
		3.	Dominant Lethal Study	. 5
	F.		s and Discussion	4 5 5 5 5 6 7
		1.	Toxicity	5
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	5
			b. <u>In vitro</u>	6
			c. Toxicity data sheets	
		2.	Host-Mediated Assay	
		3.	Host-Mediated Assay - Repeat	
			a. Evaluation of retest	
•	•		<ul> <li>Host-mediated assay summary sheets.</li> </ul>	
		_	c. Host-mediated assay data sheets	
		4.	Cytogenetics	
			a. <u>In vivo</u>	
			$\overline{(1)}$ Acute study	60
			(2) Subacute study	
			b. <u>In vitro</u>	60
			c. Cytogenetics summary sheets	
		5.	Dominant Lethal Study	
			a. Acute study	65
			b. Subacute study	65
			c. Dominant lethal assay summary	
			tables	66
II.	MATERIA	ALS AND	METHODS	83
	Α.	Animal	Husbandry	83
	***	1.	Animals (Rats and Mice)	83
		2.	Preparation of Diet	83
		3.	Husbandry	83
	В.		Determination	83
		1.	Acute LD50 and LD5 Determination	83
		2.	Subacute Studies	85



# TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

				Page	No.
II.	MATER	IALS AN	D METHODS (continued)		
	c.	Mutag	enicity Testing Protocols		5
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay	_	5
			a. Acute study		6
			b. Subacute study		8
			c. In vitro study	. 8	
		2.	Cytogenetic Studies		
			a. In vivo study	. 8	
			b. In vitro study		
		3.	Dominant Lethal Assay		
	D.	Supp1	ementary Materials and Methods		
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae	e. 9	
			a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests		
			b. <u>In vitro for mitotic recombination</u>		
			c. Minimal medium (bacteria)		
			d. Complete medium (bacteria)		
			e. Complete medium (yeast)	. 90	6
		2.	Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of		
			Anaphase Chromosomes	. 9	7
		3.	Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal		
			Studies		
•	•		a. The fertility index		8 .
			b. Total number of implantations		
			c. Total number of corpora lutea		
			d. Preimplantation losses		
			e. Dead implants		
			f. One or more dead implants		
			g. Two or more dead implants		
			h. Dead implants per total implants.		
	Ε.	Refer			
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay		
		2.	Cytogenetics	. 102	
		3.	Dominant Lethal		
		A L L	uda Adama	70	A



#### I. REPORT

#### A. <u>Introduction</u>

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Administration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man.

This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of-a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the  $F_1$  generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

#### B. <u>Objective</u>

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

#### C. Compound

#### 1. Test Material

Compound FDA 71-2, Ammonium Saccharin, as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

#### 2. Dosages

The animals employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-2 are as follows for Cytogenetics Studies <u>in vivo</u> in rats.

Low Level	30 mg/kg
Intermediate Level	2500 mg/kg
High Level	5000 mg/kg
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-2 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay in vivo in mice.

Low Level		30 m	ng/kg
Intermediate Leve	<b>e</b> 1	2500 m	ıg/kg
High Level		5000 m	ig/kg
Negative Control		Saline	)
Positive Control	(EMS**)	350 m	ıg/kg
	(DMN***)	100 m	ig/kg

- \* Triethylene Melamine
- \*\* Ethyl Methane Sulfonate
- \*\*\* Dimethyl Nitrosamine



The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-2, Ammonium Saccharin, are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay <u>in vivo</u> in rats.

Low Level	30 mg/kg
Intermediate Level	2500 mg/kg
High Level	5000 mg/kg
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.5 mg/kg

The <u>in vitro</u> Cytogenetics Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	10 mcg/ml
Medium Level	100 mcg/ml
High	1000 mcg/ml
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.1 mcg/ml

\*Triethylene Melamine

#### -D. <u>Methods</u>

The methodology employed is explained in Appendices C and D.

#### E. Summary

#### 1. Cytogenetics

#### a. In vivo

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.

#### b. In vitro

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

#### 2. Host-Mediated Assay

 $\label{thm:compound} \text{This compound was non-mutagenic at the dose levels} \\ \text{tested in this study.}$ 



#### 3. Dominant Lethal Study

Compound FDA 71-2 is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Dominant Lethal Study in rats employing the dosage levels used in this study.

#### F. Results and Discussion

#### 1. Toxicity

#### a. <u>In vivo</u>

A group of ten male rats with an average body weight of 335 grams was given compound 71-2 on an acute basis of 5,000 mg/kg of body weight. The compound was in a solution of 0.85% saline and one ml/ rat was administered by gastric intubation. All animals appeared normal during treatment and for an additional nine days post-treatment observation. Necropsies of these animals on day 10 revealed no gross morphological change in the organs examined. The work was repeated with a group of ten male albino rats with an average body weight of 335 grams with the same findings. In the experiment 5,000 mg/kg was administered at the high level, 2,500 mg/kg at the intermediate level, and 30 mg/kg at the low level. These dosages were employed in both the acute and subacute in vivo studies.



# b. <u>In vitro</u>

Tube <u>Number</u>	Number of Cells	Conc. mcg/ml	CPE	Mitoses
1	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	10		+
2	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	10	-	+
3	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	100		+
4	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	100	•••	+
5	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1,000	-	+
6	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1,000	-	+
7	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	10,000	-	+
8	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	10,000	+	+
9	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	20,000	+	-
10	5 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	20,000	+	+

The high level employed was 1,000 mcg/ml, the intermediate level was 100 mcg/ml and the low level was 10 mcg/ml.

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-2

AMMONIUM SACCHARIN



## TOXICITY DATA

#### CONTRACT FDA 71-268

#### COMPOUND FDA 71-2

#### AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

This compound was administered at an extremely high concentration of 5,000 mg/kg with no abnormal effects observed on the animals. Therefore, as agreed to in the protocol the doses employed were as follows.

High Level

5000 mg/kg

Medium Level

2500 mg/kg

Low Level

30 mg/kg

There was no abnormal gross pathology on the animals used and a  ${\tt determination\ of\ an\ LD}_{50}\ {\tt was\ not\ performed}.$ 

# 2. Host-Mediated Assay

Compound FDA 71-2 showed no significant increases in mutation frequencies when tested <u>in vivo</u> against <u>Salmonella</u> G-46 and TA-1530 and <u>Saccharomyces</u> D-3. The <u>in vitro</u> studies were also negative. With outliers removed, studies with <u>Salmonella</u> G-46 were borderline at subacute high levels.

## 3. Host-Mediated Assay - Repeat

The results of the repeat using <u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 as an indicator organism for FDA 71-2 are the same as the original except for the positive control increase. At the highest recoveries, no differences in mutant frequencies occurred. There were no significant increases in mutation frequencies in the host-mediated assay using TA-1530 as the indicator organism.

#### a. Evaluation of retest

1.

The results from the TA-1530 repeat of compound 71-2 are acceptable and are not significantly different from the original run with respect to their interpretation. The results clearly indicate no genetic activity following treatment with this compound.

David Brusick

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-2

AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

#### SUMMARY SHEET

#### OUTLIERS REMOVED .

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

TEST I

SACCHAROMYCES D-3

	SALMONELLA	
TA1530		6-46

MAF MET/MEC - MMF MFT/MFC MRF MRT/MRC (X 10E-8) (x 10E-8) (X 10E-5) ACUTE NC .72 •55 5.79 PC 6.41 8.90 12.03 21.87 53.25 9.20 AL .97 1.35 1.07 1.95 5.09 •88 AI .70 .97 1.67 3.04 5.94 1.03 AH -53 .74 1.61 2.93 5.41 .93 **SUBACUTE** NC .72 •55 5.79 SL .81 1.12 1.32 2.40 7.95 1.37 51 •53 .74 2.16 3.93 5.27 .91 SH •90 1.25 2.35 4.27 4.12 .71 IN VITRO TA1530 G-46 D-3 % CONC \* SURVIVAL R X 1045

NC PC

# SUMMARY SHEET

# CUTLIERS INCLUDED

(	OMP	OUND!	FDA	71-2
---	-----	-------	-----	------

## TEST I

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TA15:	SALMOI 30	ELLA	5	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3	
	(X 10E-5)	MET/MEC	HMF (x 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC	
ACUTE NG PC AL AI AH	•68 •5•65 •97 •70 •69	8.69 1.43 1.03 1.01	•64 14•40 1•07 1•67 2•31	22.50 1.67 2.61 3.61	5.79 53.25 5.09 6.76	9.26 .86 1.17 .99	
SUFACUTE NC SL SI SH	•68 •92 •53 •90	1.35 .78 1.32	•64 1•43 2•15 2•35	2•23 3•38 3•67	5.79 9.55 5.27 4.12	1.65 .91 .71	
In VITRO	TA1530	C-46	% Conc	0-3 % SURVIV	AL RX 10L		e seessaa saasaa ka k
NC PC					ing the second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA 7	71	-2
-----------------	----	----

# TEST I

75 OUT 0 LINES 50 PROCESSING TIME 2.99 SECONDS

	•	SALMONELLA				SACCHAROMYCES D-3		
		TA153	50	€ <b>~46</b>				
	- <u>-</u>	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (x 10E-8)	METZMEC	MRF (x 10E-5)	MRT/MRC	
11 1 10 1 m	ACUTE							
	NC PC	•68 <b>5</b> •85	D 6 A	•64		ó.72		
	AU	•97	8.60 1.43	14.40	22.50	57.54	8.56	
	AI	• 70 • 69	1.03 1.01	1.07 1.67 2.31	1.67 2.61 3.61	5.86 10.48 7.12	.67 1.56	
	SUBACUTE	r e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			5002	r •	1.05	
	NC	•68		•64		<b>6.7</b> 2		
	SU SI	•92 •53	1.35 .78	1.43 2.16	2.23 3.38	10.39 5.75	1.55	
	SH	•90	1.32	2+35	3.67	4.44	•86 •66	
- ·	IN VITRO	TA1530	G <b>-</b> 46		<b>N</b> . N			
	TCPD	-	-	% COMC 10	D-3 % SURVIVAL 62	R X 1005	•	
te en en en Torrière en Espain.	NC PC	- · · · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- +	-	100	2		
		7	T	10	57	360		

CARDS IN

# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY (OUTLIERS REMOVED)

SUMMARY SHEET

TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 7	l <b>–</b>	2
-----------------	------------	---

CSCX CSC85F 24 NOV 72 14:48:49 USER CFU007 190

CARDS IN 73 OUT 0 LINES 47 PROCESSING TIME 3. 5 SECONDS

COMPOUND: 1DA	11-2	SALMONELLA			SACCHAROMYCES D-3		
	TA153		G-46			•	
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC	
ACUTE NC PC AU AI AH	.72 6.41 .97 .70	8.90 1.35 .97 .74	.55 12.03 1.07 1.61 1.67	21.87 1.95 2.93 3.04	6.72 57.54 5.86 8.49 5.94	8.56 .87 1.26 .88	
SUBACUTÉ NC SU SI SH		1,12 .74 1,25	.55 1.32 2.16 2.35	2.40 3.93 4.27	6.72 8.65 5.75 4.44	1.29 .86 .66	
IN VITRO	TA1530 SAME AS PRECE	G-46 EDING PAGE	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL	R X 101	E.5	
NC PC							

READY

SUMMARY SHEET

## OUTLIERS INCLUDED

TEST II

COMPOUND: FUA 71-2

		SALMON	NELLA		SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
	TA153		<b>6-46</b>			
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF- (X 10L-8)	METZMEC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE	•					
NC	•55		1.00		1.00	
PC	12.69	23.07	0.	υ.	0.	0.
AL	88•	1.60	0.	0.	0.	0 +
AI	1.24	2.25	0.	0.	0.	<i>(</i> ) •
AH	•97	1.70	0.	C.	<b>6</b> •	0 •
SUBACUTE						
NC	•71		1.00		1.00	
5L	•84	1.18	0.	<b>G</b> •	0.	<b>0</b> •
SI	•82	1.15	0.	0.	0.	n.
SH PC*	•82	1.15	0.	0.	0.	0.
PC*	12.95	18.24	0 •	0.	0.	0.
IN VITRO	TA1530	6-46	,	D=3		
	,2300		% CONC	% SURVIV	AL R X 10	E5
NC						

NC

PC

<sup>\*</sup> Positive control performed by acute method done with subacute studies.

SUMMARY SHEET

# OUTLILRS REMOVED

# TEST II

COMPOUND: F	DA T	71-2
-------------	------	------

SALMONELLA.

SACCHAROMYCES D-3

			1 th the second		•	
	TA1530		G-46	G <b>-</b> 46		
•	ммғ (х 10E-8)	MET/MEC	MMF (x 10L-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE				• .		
NC	•55		1.00		7.00	
PC	12.69	23.07	Û•	0.	<b>U</b> •	<b>0</b> •
AL	• <b>8</b> ⊍	1.60	0.	0.	0.	0.
AI	1.04	1.89	.0 •	Ú.	0.	0 •
AH	•97	1.76	0.	0.	0.	0.
SUBACUTE			•			
NC	•71		1.00		1.00	
SL	•77	1.00	Ú •	U.	0.	<b>9</b> *
SI	•82	1.15	6.	<b>v</b> •	0.	0 •
SH	.82	1.15	0.	<b>U</b> •	0.	0.
PC*	12.95	18.24	0.	0.	0,	0.
IN VITRO	7A1530	G=46		D-3		
miv			& CONC	% SURVIVAL	_ R X 10	E5
			- ·			

NC PC

<sup>\*</sup> Positive control performed by acute method done with subacute studies.

## SUMMARY SHEET

## OUTLIERS REMOVED

## TEST II

COM	PCI	IND!	: FD	Δ 1	71-2
		37 E D 1	, , ,	_	

•	SALMONELLA				SACCHAROMYCES D-3	
	TA153	50	6-46	•		
	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MPF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
ACUTE	,					
NC	•55		1.00		1.90	
PC	12.69	23.07	0.	0.	0.	Ÿ•
AL	•83	1.60	B •	0.	0.	· O•
AL AI	1.04	1.89	0.	0.	0.	<b>⊙</b> •
AH	•97	1.76	<b>G</b> •	0.	0.	<b>() ∗</b>
SUBACUTE						
NC.	• 71		1.00		1.00	
5 <b>L</b>	.77	1.03	0.	0.	0.	8.
SI	•82	1.15	0.	0.	0.	0.
SH	•62	1.15	0.	0.	Ü "	9+
IN VITRO	TA1530	G#46	-	<b>0−3</b>		
			% CONC	% SURVIVAL	R X 101	75

NC

PC

SUMMARY SHEET

OUTLIERS INCLUDED

TEST II

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

SALMONELLA SACCHAROMYCES	
TA1530 G-46	ES 0-3
MMF MFT/MFC MMF MFT/MFC M0F M5 (X 10E-8) (X 10E-8) (X 10E-5)	T/MRC
ACUTE	
NC 1.00 1.00	
PC 12.69 23.07 0. 0. 0.	₹.
AL .88 1.60 0. 0.	ë.
AI 1.24 2.25 0. 0. 0.	0.
AH .97 1.76 0. 0. 0.	G •
SUBACUTE	
6C •71 1.00 1.00	
SL •84 1.18 0. 0. 0.	0.
SI .82 1.15 0. 0. 0.	0.
SH •82 1•15 0• 0• 0•	9.
IN VITRO TA1530 G-46 0-3	
% CONC % SURVIVAL R X 10E5	
NC	
PC Comment of the Com	

## REPEATS TO ORIGINALS

## COMPOUND FDA 71-2 AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

## OUTLIERS INCLUDED

		nella	Salmo	
	TA-1530	Original	TA-1530	Repeat
ACUTE	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC
ACUTE NC	.68		.55	
PC - AL	5.85 .97	8.60 1.43	12.69 .88	23.07 1.60
AI AH	.70	1.03	1.24	2.25
	. 69	1.01	.97	1.70
SUBACUTE NC	.68		.71	
SL SI	.92 .53	1.35 0.78	.84	1.18 1.15
SH	.90	1.32	.82	1.15
PC*	▼ .	*	12.95	18.24

<sup>\*</sup> Positive control performed by acute method done with subacute studies.

## REPEATS TO ORIGINALS

#### COMPOUND FDA 71-2 AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

## OUTLIERS REMOVED

	Salmonella			Salmo		
	TA-1530	Original		TA-1530	Repeat	
ACUTE	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	•	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	
NC PC - AL AI AH	.72 6.41 .97 .70 . <b>53</b>	8.90 1.35 .97 .74		.55 12.69 .88 1.04 .97	23.07 1.60 1.89 1.76	
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SH PC*	.72 .81 .53 .90	1.12 .74 1.25		.71 .77 .82 .82 12.95	1.08 1.15 1.15 18.24	

<sup>\*</sup> Positive control performed by acute method done with subacute studies.

#### REPEATS TO ORIGINALS

#### COMPOUND FDA 71-2 AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

# OUTLIERS REMOVED

	<u>Salmonella</u> TA-1530 Original		·	Salmor TA-1530	nella Repeat
ACUTE	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC		MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC
NC PC AL AI AH	.72 6.41 .97 .70 .53	8.90 1.35 .97 .74		.55 12.69 .88 1.04	23.07 1.60 1.89 1.76
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SH	.72 .81 .53 .90	1.12 .74 1.25		.71 .77 .82 .82	1.08 1.15 1.15

# REPEATS TO ORIGINALS

## COMPOUND FDA 71-2 AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

## OUTLIERS INCLUDED

	Salmonella TA-1530 Original		<u>Salmo</u> TA-1530	nella Repeat
ACUTE	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC
NC PC AL AI AH	.68 5.85 .97 .70 .69	8.60 1.43 1.03 1.01	.55 12.69 .88 1.24 .97	23.07 1.60 2.25 1.76
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SH	.68 .92 .53 .90	1.35 0.78 1.32	.71 .84 .82 .82	1.18 1.15 1.15

C. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-2

AMMONIUM SACCHARIN



H051	MEDIATED	ASSAY	REPORT	SHEET -
------	----------	-------	--------	---------

			TEST I	Oncakit Jan 1981	INMELLA TASSICO
	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-2	and a second of the second	· ORGANISM: SALM	MUNECLA (A1030)
		L: NEGATIVE CON		e de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la c	and the second s
and the second s	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	• ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 6. 197
, a career of a common of a common of		<b>A</b>	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ing and the second seco	ANIMAL NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	10EO/1.0ML	FRE (C/U) X 102-8
and the second of the second o		10.10	1.68	1.00	
	2	7.00	1.17	1.00	• ප්ර
	3	7.40	1.23	2.00	•31 •••5
		27.30	4.55	2.00	•44
	5	27•30 25•90	4.48	3.00	•07
				1.00	-12
	was unique to be different of the first of the second of different to the	an ann an air gceann ann an air an an ann an air an ann an air an ann an air an air an air an air an air an ai	COL. B	(X 10E0)	COL. D
		, come de 6 d	(X TOFO)	1 57	(X 10E-8)
		MEAN	2.51	1.57	(X 10E-8) .68
	and the second s	RANGE	2.51 3.38	1•57 	(X 10E-8) .68
	and the second s	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	2.51	1.57	(X 10E−8) •68 •42
		RANGE MAX MIN	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17	1.57 2.00 3.00	(X 10E-6) • 68 • 42 • 86 • 44
		RANGE MAX MIN	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17 SUMMARY WITH	1.57 2.00 3.00 1.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE	(X 10E-6) .68 .42 .86 .44
		RANGE MAX MIN	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17 SUMMARY WITH COL. B (X 10E3)	1.57 2.00 3.00 1.00 OUTLIERS REMOVED	(X 10E-8) .68 .42 .86 .44
		RANGE MAX MIN *	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17 SUMMARY WITH COL. B (X 10E3) 2.17 3.32	1.57 2.00 3.00 1.00 OUTLIERS REMOVED	(X 10L-8) .68 .42 .86 .44 .44 .72 .26
		RANGE MAX MIN	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17 SUMMARY WITH COL. B (X 10E3) 2.17 3.32 4.48	1.57 2.00 3.00 1.00 OUTLIERS REMOVED COL. C (X 10E0) 1.50 2.00	COL. D (X 10L-8) .68 .42 .86 .44
		RANGE MAX MIN  *  MEAN RANGE	2.51 3.38 4.55 1.17 SUMMARY WITH COL. B (X 10E3) 2.17 3.32	1.57 2.00 3.00 1.00 OUTLIERS REMOVED	(X 10L-8) .68 .42 .86 .44 .44 .72 .26

CARDS IN 234 OUT - 8 LINES - 75 PROCESSING TIME - 5.94 SECONDS

# - HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

	TEST I						
7 F	COMPOUND: F	DA 71-2		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530		
	DOSE LEVEL:	POSITIVE CON	ITROL - DMN -	100 MG/KG			
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 6 197		
en e	American in management of the control of the contro	<b>A</b>	8	. <b>C</b>	D		
3 14 15	ANIMAL		TOTAL CFU X -	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E+8		
in the property of the second second	<u></u>	13.50 22.60	2.25 3.77	10.00 24.00	4.44 6.37		
	<b>3</b> 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14.70 24.40	2.45 4.07	15.00 8.00	6.12 1.97		
•	5 6	26.90 15.50	4.48 2.58	33.00 17.00	7•35 6•53		
	8	26•30 19•60	4.38 3.27	25.00 27.00	5•76 6•27		
	NO. OF CONT	ALS EQUALS AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E	LS 1	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
	NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E	LS 1 QUALS 1 COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 106-8)		
	NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E  MEAN RANGE MAX	LS 1 QUALS 1 COL. 5		(X 106-6) 5.85 6.30		
	NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 3.41 2.23	(X 10E0) 19.87 25.00	(X 106-8) 5.85		
	NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 3.41 2.23 4.46 2.25	(X 10E0) 	(X 100-8) 5.85 5.30 8.27 1.97		
	NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 3.41 2.23 4.46 2.25  SUMMARY WITH 0	(X 10E0) 19.87 25.00 33.00 6.00 UTLIERS REMOVES	(X 100-8) 5.85 5.30 8.27 1.97		
	NO. OF CONT TOTAL CFU O	AMINATED EQUAL UT OF RANGE E  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 3.41 2.23 4.46 2.25  SUMMARY WITH 0  COL. B (X 10E8) 3.31	(X 10E0) 19.87 25.00 33.00 -8.00	(X 100-8) 5.85 5.30 8.27 		

CARDS IN 234 OUT 0 LINES 76 PROCESSING TIME 6.10 SECONUS

# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET ---

DODE LEVEL	LOW 30 MG/KG			o <del>ewa</del> n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 6, 19
and the second s	A	В	C TOTAL NO	D
		TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	
	37.60	············6•2 <b>7</b>	1.00	• 16
2	6.70	1.12	2.00	1.79
3 -	6.30	1.05	1.00	•95
	7.20	1.20	3.00	2.50
5	<b>33.60</b>	6.43	3.00	•47
6 <b>7</b>	14•90 23•00	2•48 3•83	1.00 2.00	•40 · •52
	ALS EQUALS UT OF RANGE E			•
TOTAL CPO O	Of OF KARGE E			
		COL. B (X 10E8)		COL. D - (X 102+8)
	MEAN	3.20	1.86	• 47 102-37
	RANGE	5.38	2.00	2.34
a contract to the second secon	MAX	6.43	3.00	2.50
	MIN	1.05	1.00	•16
NO OUTLIERS				

63 PROCESSING TIME 6. 0 SECONDS

CARDS IN 236 OUT

# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

		tona esta est	TEST I	Am 6 8 1 1 2 11 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	MANGEL A WARRAN
		•		ORGANISM: SAI	LMONELLA TA1530
	DOSE LEVEL:	INTERMEDIATE	- 2500 MG/KG		
inggreen on an are worker to	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	: OCTOBER 6, 197
The second section of the second		A	6	C	D
					MUTATION
			TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML		
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		202,000.2	20001 2001	N 200 0
	1	29.90	4.98	4.00	• • • •
	2	9.10	1.52	1.00	• ti ti
	3	7.20		1,00	•83
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.12	1.00	• 90
	5	15.20	2.53	1.00	•ა9
	6	37.00	6.17	3.00	• 49
	7	7.40	1.23	1.00	•01
	NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS	7		•
		UT OF RANGE E			was a second of
			COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	manager and analysis and the second section of the second		(X 10E6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-0)
		MEAN		1.71	•70
		RANGE			•50
		MAX	6.17	4.00	···· ·· · · · · · • • 90
		MIN	1.12	1.00	• 39
or any or an experience of the second	NO OUTLIERS		anners and a second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e annual de la companya de la compa
SCX CSC85	SF 22 NOV 7	2 17:11:26	USER CFU007	200	
ARDS IN	236 OUT	o LINES	63 PROCESSIN	G TIME 6	12 SECONDS

#### --- HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHIET

, , , , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	- COMPOUND: I	*DA 71-2	TEST I	- Organich: Salm	KONELLA JA153
	DOSE LEVEL	: HICH - 5000	MG/KG		
<b></b>	TREATHENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 6, 1
		À	В	C TOTAL NO.	D Add to a description of
	ANIMAL	KAW ČFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X - 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. - MUTANIS X	MUTATION FRC (CZS) X 104-8
* ****		30•70	5.12	2.00	r om real of <b>a</b> griff
	É	25.90	4.43	1.00	• 2 62
	3	13.10	2 • 18	1.00	• \$6 kg
	and the second s	44.50	7.42	7,00	• 54
	5	20.90	3.48	2.00	•57
	6	29.10	4.85	3.00	• & #.
	NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS MINATED EQUAL	7 LS 1	B.00	1.61
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90 MALS EQUALS MAINATED EQUAL OUT OF RANGE EC	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E3)	6.00 COL. C (X 10E0)	
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90 IALS EQUALS IAMINATED EQUAL OUT OF RANGE EC	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 1088) 4.65	COL. C (X 16E0) 3.43	(OL. D (X 106-8)
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90 IALS EQUALS IAMINATED EQUAL OUT OF RANGE EC	4.98 7 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00	COL. D (X 106+8) .09 1.34
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90 IALS EQUALS IAMINATED EQUAL OF RANGE EQ  MEAN RANGE MAX	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42	6.00 COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00 6.00	COL. D (X 10E+8) .59 1.39 1.51
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90  IALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE EC  MEAN  RANGE  MAX  MIN	4.98 7 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00	(OL. D (X 101-8) .09 1.39 1.51 .22
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90  IALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE EQUAL  MEAN  RANGE  MAX  MIN	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18 COL. B	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00 6.00 1.00  OUTLIERS REMOVED	COL. D (X 10E+8) .09 1.34 1.61 .22
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90  IALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE EC  MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18 COL. B	6.00 (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00 6.00 1.00	COL. D (X 10E+8) .09 1.34 1.61 .22
	NO. OF ANIM	29.90  IALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE ECO  MEAN  RANGE  MAX  MIN  * S	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.59	COL. C (X 16E0) 3.43 7.00 8.00 1.00  COL. C (X 16E0) 2.67	COL. D (X 10E+8) .09 1.34 1.61 .22
	NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE EQ  MEAN  RANGE  MAX  MIN  * S  MEAN  RANGE	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.59 5.23	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00 8.00 1.00  COL. C (X 10E0) 2.67 6.00	COL. D (X 100-8) .09 1.38 1.61 .22 CCL. D (X 106-6) .53 .72
	NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS  AMINATED EQUAL  OF RANGE EQ  MEAN  RANGE  MAX  MIN  * S  MEAN  RANGE	4.98 7 LS 1 GUALS 2 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.65 5.23 7.42 2.18 COL. B (X 10E8) 4.59	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.43 7.00 8.00 1.00  COL. C (X 10E0) 2.67 6.00	(OL. D (X 106-8) .09 1.38 1.01 .22

CARDS IN --- 234 OUT --- 0 - LINES -- 75 -- PROCESSING TIME --- 5.79 SECONDS

30

# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

1994 g a c	-COMPOUND:	- FDA - <b>71-</b> 2	TEST I		
				ORGANISM: SAL	LMONELLA TA1550
er o e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	DOSE LEVEL	L: LOW - 30 MG/	/KG		
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	CTOBER 6, 19
THE STATE OF THE S	The second section of the second section is a second section of the second section sec	A	B	C TOTAL NO	<u>D</u>
CANADA COMPANIA DE LA COMPANIA DE L	ANIMAL NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X - 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION THE (C/S) X 10L-8
	2 3	43.10 12.50	7.18 2.08	4.00 2.00	" ************************************
A Company of the Comp	5	23•10 19•00 19•60	3.85 3.17 3.27	3.00 1.00	•75 •32
the transfer of the second	6 7 8	19.00 35.90	3•17 5•98	6.00 4.00 4.00	1•64 × 1•26 
the second second	a de la companya de l La companya de la companya de	14.90 43.90	2•48 8•15	3.00 6.00	1.21 .74
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	NO. OF ANIM	MALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE EG	9 GUALS 1		And the second of the second o
·	the world and a supplied	······································	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10L-8)
س		RANGE MAX	4.37 6.07 8.15	5.67 5.00 6.00	•92 1.52 1.84
			·············· 2•08	1.00	• 3 <u>&amp;</u>
e emergence approximation of the contract of t	en e	**************************************	UMMARY WITH C	UTLIERS REMOVED	the state of the s
		MEAN RANGE	4.51	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.37	COL. D (X 106-8)
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MAX MIN	6.07 8.15 2.08	6.00 1.00	
			The state of the s	the second of th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

TARUS IN 236 OUT 0 LINES 76 PROCESSING TIME 6.18 SECONDS

### ---- HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT-SHEET ----

TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, GRAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	OCTOBER 6
	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATIC
			MUTANTS X 10EO/1.0ML	
	4k•20	6.87: ···	3.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ž	52.60	8.77	2.00	•23
3	24.50	4.08	4.00	•98
4	51.10	8.52	2.00	•23
5	31.00	5.17	4.00	.77
6	27.70	4.62	2.00	٠ 44 ڻ
	57.80	9.03	3.00	•31
Ė	25.90	3.98	2.00	•50
Ģ	21.10	3.52	3.00	•85
	IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E			
Constitution of the Consti	and the second s	COL. B	co. c	COL.
		(X 10E3)	(X 16E0)	(X 102-
	- MEAN	6.13		
and the second s	RANCE	6.12	2.00	. 7
	MAX	9.63	4.00	• 0
	HIH	3.52	2.00	ئى . ئى .
NO CUTLIE	<del>-</del> "	<b>₩ 9</b> 34	2 € ♥ ♥	• <i>6</i> .

### TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

- ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISSO

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: OCTUBER 6, 1976

		and the second of the second o		
	A	B	c	D
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
AHIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/0)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 104-5
<b>1</b>	32.00	5.33	3.00	• ၁၀
2	20.30	3.38	8.00	2.35
3	9.20	1.53	1.00	• 6b
· 4	6.30	<b>1</b> • 95	1.00	· • ₩5
5	<b>3</b> 2•90	5.48	4.00	• 73
6	21.70	3.02	7.00	1.94
7	25.50	4.25	3.00	•71
3	18.10	2 • 35	1.00	• 43
. 9	19.08	3.17	1.00	. 52
10	38•90 -	6.48	2.00	•31
NO. OF	ANIMALS EQUALS	10		
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. 0
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 101-8)
	MEAN	3.67	5.10	• 90
	RANGE	5.43	7.00	2.00
	MAX	6.48	n.00	2,36
	MIN	1.05	1.00	.31
NO OUTL	TOPE		•	

CSCX CSC85F 22 NOV 72 17:12: 9 USER CFU007 200

CARDS IN - 236 OUT - - 0 LINES - 65 - PROCESSING TIME - - 5.98 SECONDS

TEST I

ORGANISMI SALMONELLA U-46 -- COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: SEPTEMBER 22, 1972

	A	В	C.	D
ANIMAL NUMBER		TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	- 34·00 ····	5.67	3,00	•53
2	50.90	8.48	4.00	• 47
3	34.00	5.67	4.00	•71
. <b></b>	58 • 60 ·····	9.67	4.00	•41
5	31.50	5.25	6.00	1.14
6	34.70	5.78	3.00	•52
. 7	54.20	9.03	6.00	•66

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CHU OUT OF HANGE EQUALS 2 SAMPLES WITH ZERO MUTANTS EQUAL

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN .	7.08	4.29	• 54
- RANGE	4,42	3.00	. 73
MAX	9.67	6.00	1.14
MIN	5.25	3.03	•41

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
and the second s	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	- (X 10L-8)
MEAN	7.38	4.00	<b>•</b> 55
RANGE	4.00	3.00	•29
MAX	9.67 -		
MIN	5.67	3•00	.41

\_CSCX CSC85F 22 NOV 72 17:13:30 USER CFU007 200

CARDS IN 234 OUT - 0 LINES 75 PROCESSING TIME 5.88 SECONDS

# 

·	COMPOUND:	FDA -71-2	TEST I	ORGANISM: SAL	LMONELLA G-46
***************************************	DOSE LEVEL	: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - DMN -	100 MG/KG	
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	SEPTEMBER 2
		<b>A</b>	8	C TOTAL NO.	D
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/8) X 10E-3
	2 3	<b>19•10</b> 57•70 59•10	3•18 9•62 9•85	91.00 97.00 62.00	28.59 10.69 6.29
1	<b>4 5 6</b>	55.40 23.50 44.90	9.23 3.92 7.48	108,00 64,00 78,00	11.70 16.34 10.42
and the second s		38.00 MALS EQUALS	7	110.00	17.57
		D ANIMALS EOUA TAMINATED EQUA	Ľs 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	and the second s	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8) 7.09	COL. C (X 10E0) 87.14	COL. D (X 10E-8) 14.40
	The transfer of the second	MAX MIN	6.67 9.85 3.18	48.00 110.00 62.00	22•29 28•59 6•29
		*	SUMMARY WITH C	UTLIERS REMOVE	<b>D</b>
	and the control of th		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D (X 10E-8)
enteres Transporter Transporter		MEAN RANGE MAX	7.74	86.50 48.00 110.00	12.03 11.07
annia Pinis		MIN	3.92	62.00	6.29
Skery			USER CFU007		
CARDS I	N 230 OUT	0 LINES	75 PROCESSIN	G-TIME 5.	97 SECONDS

相 ds , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	COMPOUNDI	FUA: 71-2		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA 6-46
	pose level	: LOW - 50 MG.	/K6	and the second s	
a	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	SEPTEMBER 22
- 10 mm - 10	an an earlier and the second of the second o	A	<u> </u>	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTÄTZON
	ANIMAL	TOE7/0.6RL	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0FL	MUTANTS X 10EU/1.0ML	x 10LTO
en e	2	43.16 17.90	7.18 2.98	8.00 2.00 8.60	1.11 .67 1.44
.2.2	3 4 5	33•30 13•90 43•20	5.55 1.67 6.03	3.00 16.00	1.30
in Mariana (1984) and a second of the second		50.50 50.50 58.40	9.75 9.25 9.73	9.00 16.60 3.00	•98 1•38 •31
Manager Company of the Company of th	NO. OF CO	IMALS ESUALS STAMINATED EOU	ALS Z		
e de la companya de l			COL. B (x 1ee8)	(x iero)	COL. D (X 106-0)
Marian Landard Control		MEAN RANGE MAK	6.77 8.08 9.75		1.07 1.49 1.50
The second secon	NO OUTLIE	MIN	1.67		
	tasp tab kov	-72 - 17:14(t) 5	USER CFU007	200	and the second of the second o
	N 232 OUT	D LINES	64 PROCESSI	NG TIME 5.	89 SECONUS

TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 2500 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: SEPTEMBER 22, 197

an an angan na an angan makana an a <del>na a</del> n an	e and the second se	Company or comment and the comment of the comment o	and the second s	$M_{\rm F} = -1 - 100 \cdot 1000 \cdot 1 + 1000 \cdot 100$	a construction of the second
		A	В	C	D MUTATION
			mant ACH V	TOTAL NO.	FRE (C/8)
- 10 mg	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	
	NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
and the comment of the second	and the second s	45.30	7.55	9.00	1.19
	2	48.40	8.07	11.00	1.36
	3	44.80	7.47	8.00	1.07
		42.40	7.07	13.00	1.84
	5	30.70	5.12	14.00	2.74
	6	25.40	4.23	12,00	2.63
		32.00	5.33	8.00	1.50
	8	23.10	3.85	3.00	•78
	9	59.20	9.87	17.00	1.72
	NO. OF AN	NIMALS EQUALS	9		
	NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EQU	ALS 1		
and the second s	er men i ser i MMM (etc.) en i ser i s	•	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
			(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10L-8)
	The second secon	MEAN	6.51	10.56	1.67
		RANGE	6.02	14.00	2.06
		MAX	9.87	17.00	2.83
		MIN	3.85	3.00	.78
	NO OUTLIE			<b>*</b> • • •	

CSCX CSC85F 22 NOV 72 17:14:21 USER CFU007 200

CARDS IN 234 OUT 0 LINES 65 PROCESSING TIME 5.81 SECONDS

TREATME	NT: IN VIVO, ORAL	ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	SEPTEMBER
	A	<b>B</b>	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATIO
ANIMAL NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML		- MUTANTS X	
1	54.80	8.80	14.60	1.59
2	17.70	2.95	11.00	3.73
3	43.70	6.12	9.00	1.11
	2. · · · · · · · · · 12. · 00 · · · · ·	2.00	13.00	ត្∙ ៦0
5	21•50 53•70	3.58 9.78	4.00 3.00	1•12 •31
6 .	59+80	···· 9.97 ····	18.00	1.51
NOOF-(	ANIMALS EQUALS CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E	GUALS 2	COL. C	COL.
NOOF-(	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E HEAN HANGE	COL. B (X 18E8) 0.46 7.97 9.97	(x jūž0) 10.29 15.00 12.00	(X 106- 2.3 0.1 0.5
	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E HEAN HAGGE MAX HIN	COL. B (X 1058) 6.46 7.97 9.97 2.00	(x 10E0) 10.29 15.09	(X 10m- 2.3 0.1 0.5
NOOF- (	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E HEAN HAGGE MAX HIN	COL. B (X 1058) 6.46 7.97 9.97 2.00	(x 10E0) 10.29 15.00 12.00 3.00	(X 101 2.3 0.1 0.5
NOOF-(	CONTAMINATED EQUATE OF RANGE E  MEAN RANGE MAX HIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 6.46 7.97 9.97 2.00 SUMMARY WITH O	(x 10E0) 10.27 	(X 10L- 2.5 6.1 0.5 .2
	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E  HEAN HANGE MAX HIN  *	COL. B (X 10E8) 0.46 7.97 9.97 2.00 SUMMARY WITH O (X 10E6) 7.20	(X 10E0) 10.29 15.00 10.00 3.00 UTLIERS REMOVE: COL. C (X 10E0)	(X 10L- 2.3 6.1 9.5 .3 COL. (X 10L- 1.6
NO. OF (TOTAL CE	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E  HEAN HANGE MAX HIN  MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 0.46 7.97 9.97 2.00 SUMMARY WITH O COL. B (X 10E6) 7.20 7.02	(X 10E0) 10.29 15.00 3.00 3.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE: (X 10E0) 0.83 13.00	COL.  (X 10L-  2.3  6.1  6.3  7.3
NO. OF (TOTAL CE	CONTAMINATED EQUA FU OUT OF RANGE E  HEAN HANGE MAX HIN  *	COL. B (X 10E8) 0.46 7.97 9.97 2.00 SUMMARY WITH O COL. B (X 10E6) 7.20 7.02	(X 10E0) 10.29 15.00 3.00 3.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE: (X 10E0) 0.83 13.00	COL.  (X 10L-  2.3  0.1  0.1  1.6  3.4

### 

### TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

--- ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46

DOSE LEVEL: LOW - 30 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: SEPTEMBER 22, 197.

	A	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	46.70	7.78	12.00	1.54
2	57.20	9.53	11.00	1.15
3	53.90	8.98	7.00	•78
4	41.90	6.98	11.00	1.58
5	13.90	2.32	3.00	1.29
6	23.60	3.9 <b>3</b>	8.00	2.03
····· 7	33.70	5.62	9.00	1.60

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

	- COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	6.45	8.71	1.43
RANGE	7.22	9.00	1.25
MAX	9.53	12.00	2.03
MIN	2.32	3.00	• 78

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

•	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	6.87	3.83	1.32
RANGE	7.22	9.00	.32
MAX	9.53	12.00	1.60
MIN	2.32	3.00	•78

CSCX CSC85F 22 NOV 72 17:14:56 USER CFU007 200

CARDS IN -- 234 OUT O LINES -- 75 PROCESSING TIME

6.16 SECONDS

ORGANISM: SALMONDLLA 6-46

### TEST I

--- COMPOUND: FOA 71-2

and the second of the second o	a shakasanin oleh kara ini ini ini ini ini ini ini ini ini in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		A	B	C TOTAL NO.	D HUTATION
A.	HIMAL	P&W -CFH-X	TOTAL CELL X	- PUTANTS X	
	UMBLR		10E8/1.0ML		X 10E-8
		51.70	8.b2	17.00	1.97
	2	43.00	ۥ00	21.00	2.62
	3	37.20	6.29	10.00	1.61
and the second	4	31.30	5.22	8.00	1.53
	5	17.00	2.63	12.00	4 - 44
	ti e	8 • (H))	1.47	5.00	3.41
The same of the sa	.7	45.00	7.50	11.00	1.47
	e.	38.70	6.45	8.00	1.24
	9	53.80	8.97	16.00	1.78
	10	59.20	0.37	14.00	1.07
N	O. OF ANIM	ALS EUUALS	10	and the second s	
			COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10L-5
		MEAN		12.20	2.16
		RANGE	7.50	16.00	2.99
		MAX	8.97	21.00	4.24
	war in the first of the second		1.47		1.24
N	O OUTLIERS				
CV CCCASE	22 NOV 7	0 17:15:1a	USER CFU007	200	
CX CSCOSF	WE 1104 1	A TATE OF TA	OUTER OF OUT	£ 0 0	
or.c. In	936-0UT	n	-65 -PROCESSIN	G TIME 6	B SECONUS

#### TFST I

			TEST I		
	- COMPOUND:	FDA 71-2	erenemen er joset og g	- ORGANISM: SAL	MONILLIA G-46
	DOSE LEVEL	.: HIGH - 5000 I	497KE		
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL,	SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	SEPTEMBER 2
Control of the second s		<b>A</b> //	B	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
	ANIMAL NU-BER	-RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X 16E8/1.0ML	MUTAHTS X	FRE (C/8) % 106-8
e a se come		-35.56	5.92	18.00	············3•04 ··
	2 3	46.30	6.72	14.00	2.08
		ამ∙იი - გ1•80	6.63	11.00	1.74
	5	50.30	9•38	17.00 19.00	2•02
r ==== 	6	31.00	5.17	13.60	2.52
	mana ay in gana magaan ay ah in		2 · 63 · · · ·	8,60	
	8	54.80	9.15	24.00	2.63
K.	- NO. OF ANI	MALS ENVALS	<b>F4</b>	E - 1944 to a control of the control	
ost Gagg	NO. OF CON	TAMINATED FOUAL OUT OF RANGE EQ	5 1		
			COL. (1 (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10EB)	COL. D (X 101-A)
40	The second of th	MEAN		14.50	2.35
1		HAX	6.55 9.38	16.00 26.00	1.31
No.	e word a session of the session of t		2.83		3.04 1.74
	NO OUTLIER	<b>\$</b> 			<b>●</b> ♥ ₹ ₹ ↑
cscx cs	5085F 22 NOV	72 17:15:31 U	SER CFU007	200	
CARDS 1	II4 234 OUT	O LINES	65 PROCESSIN	G TIME 6.	5 SECONDS

# - -- - HUST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

· where we will be	DUSE LEVEL	· MEDALTAE CO	INTROL - VATER		12
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. OFA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 🛺 1973
u e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	and the second seco		E)	C	0
	-ARIMAL -	DAG PERLY.	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS	RECOMPLETED X
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	1025/1.0ML	/1.0NL	101-5
ari, ari, merere		400.00		2.60	5.t0
	2	131.00	•13	1.00	7.03
	3	176.00	.17	2.00	11.70
	·-·· <b>4</b>	112.00 -	• 11	1.00	<b>∂.</b> 93
	5	305-60	• 30	2.00	6.56
	<u> </u>	552+00	• 95	3.00	5.44
pay ware or see	3	<b>213.</b> 00 402.00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.00 1.00	9.49 2.49
	3 9	381.00	• 38	1.00	2.52
	10-	272.00	.27	2.00	7.05
	TOTAL		2.94	17.00	
	NO. OF ANIM	MALS EQUALS	10		
	HEAN CYMEAN	1 B ≡ ·	5. <b>7</b> 9	and the second s	
			······································	COL. • C ·	col. b
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(A 10E-5
		MEAN	.29	1.70	0.72
		FAHCE		2.09	9.20
		MAX	•55	4.00	11.76
		MIN	• 11	1.00	2.49
COMPLETE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	- No-OUTLIERS		<del>ga kananan kan Kananan kananan kanan</del>	A .	
			and the second second second second		
(- C5C8)	5F 82 - NOV1	/2 17: 9:39	USER CFUCO7	200	e se

### ---- - FOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET -

### TEST I

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCLS D-3

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - LMS - 350 MG/KS IM.

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. GRAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MAY 4. 1972

ANTMAL	A RAW CFU X 1005/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREFNED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL - RECCHHILANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCRLEMED X 102-5	
3 4 5	181+00 233+00 453+00 67+00 531-00 204+00	•18 •24 •45 •67 •53 •20	16.00 13.00 43.00 38.00 22.00 14.00	88.40 54.62 94.92 56.23 41.43 68.03	
7 8 9 -10 TOTAL	525 • 60 461 • 60	. 46 • 16 • 53 • 44 3• 91	21.00 10.00 17.00 14.00	45.65 64.10 32.70 29.11	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

MEAN C/MEAN B = 53.29

Marin Control of the	at a transfer of the second of	COL. B		- COL. U
		(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 106-5)
	MEAN	.39	2.,.80	57.54
	PANNE	•52	33.00	<del>-</del>
	MAX	.67	4 : . 00	94.92
	MIN	. 16	10.00	29.11
-NO OUTLIERS			en e	

SCX CSC85F 22 NOV-72 17: 9:49 USER CFU007 --- 200 ---

ARDS IN 236 OUT OF LINES 70 PROCESSING TIME 5.94 SECONDS

			ILSI 1		
	COMPOUND	FDA 71-2		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES D
	DOSE LEVE	EL: LOW - 30 MG	5/Ke		
	TREATHERT	T: IN VIVO, CRA	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	: MAY +00 1972
	and the second s	Å	8		D
			TOTAL CEU	TOTAL	RECOMB/CFU
	- ANTMAL	RAW CFU X	SCHEENED X	RECOMBINANTS	SCREENEL A
	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	16E-5
	1	-109.00	• 11	1.00	9.17
	8	260.00	• 36	1.00	2.73
	3	421.00	• 42	2.00	4.75
		194+00	• 19	1.00 -	5.15
	<u>C</u>	172.00	• 1.7	1.00	5.41
	6	543.00	• 54	3.00	5.52
		214.00	· · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . ·	2.00	9.55
	8 -	397.00	• 40	1.00	~ 2.52
	Ģ	421.00	•42	2.00	4.75
•	10	- · · · · · 114 • 99 · · ·	**************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TOTAL		2.95	15.00	
The second secon	NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10	The second secon	*
, , , , a	MEAN CYME	AII 8 =	ა. მო ა. მ9	The state of the s	
		a gaga a agas, saac sa sa da dadada ahka da sa	B	COL. C	COL. C
			(X 10E5)	(X (OEO)	(X 10E-5)
		HEAN	.29	1.50	5.00
	and the second of the second o	HALGE			០. មនិ
		MAX	•54	3.00	3.35
		MIN		1.00	2.52
a grand and a second section of	HO OUTLIE	HS	ere i generalis in a propinci a la sur la su	es describes and account of the contract of th	THE BUT THE TOTAL
بموضع ويمعونيع	ericker er in bestellt in bestellt.	ያ ቻል - <b>፪</b> ማ የ ጠልፍለ	Commission of the Commission o	000	
- DUX しか	COOF ZE NOV	14- 11 9138 -	- OSEK CROOM	500	
Cabec 1	hr with mist	0 1 789 0	74 - 500000000	tant wykler to	OR BEARING
CARDS I	₩ 5 <b>3</b> 0 001	0 611/62	10 PROCE 221	HIG TIME 5.	BB SECURDS

			TEST I	•	
	COMPOUND:	FUA 71-2	en e	ORGANIGM: SA	CCHAROMYCES O
	DOSE LEVEL	L: INTERMEDI	ATE - 2500 MG/K	$\epsilon$	
		en e		to the transfer of the second	12
	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. O	RAL, ACUTE	DATE STARTED	MAY - 1972
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	***************************************	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		A	TOTAL CFU	C Υύτλι	D DECOMBRACIO
	ANIMAL	HAW CHU X	SCREEPED X	-RECOMBINANTS	RECOMBICEUS SCREENED X
	NUMBER	1085/1.0ML	1005/1.0ML	/1.6Mg	
	7.0.	and the same of the same	A O La Cor A & O F No.	* * * Care	14t-5
·		141+00		4:00	28.37
	ટ્	305.00	• 30	5.00	16.39
	3	770.00	•78	2.00	2.00
- · · - · · ·	e de la companya de l	961:00	• 98	1.00	1.00.3
	5	193.00	•19	2.00	10.30
	6	232.00	• 23	2.00	8.62
	7	494.00 -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2.00	4.05
	. <b>Č</b> i	250-80	• 25	3.00	12.00
	9	200.00	• 29	3.00 .	10.49
of a side space	- 10 · · · · · · · · ·	- 183.00 -	• 18	2.00	10.33
	TOTAL		3.84	26.00	
and the second of the second	NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	10		
	NO. OF ANI		10 6.76		
				COL • C	col. b
		.и в =	6.76	COL. C (X (GEO)	COL. D (X 106-5)
		N B =	COL. B (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0)	
		N B =  MEAN RAUGE	COL. 6 (× 10E5) .38 .84	(X 16E0)	(× 10L-5)
		MEAN RAUSE	COL. B (× 16E5) .38 .84 .98	(X (GEO) 7.60 	(X 10L-5) 10.48
		MEAN RALIGE MAX MIN	COL. 6 (× 10E5) .38 .84	(X 16E0) 2.60 	(% 10L+5) 10.46 27.35
	MLAN CYMEA	MEAN RAUGE MAX MID	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98	(X (GEO) 0.00 -4.00 1.00	(X 10L-5) 10.48 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CYMEA	MEAN RAUGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98	(X (GEO)	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RANGE, MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14	(X (GED) (+00 4-00 (+00 1-00 OUTLIERS PEHOVE	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 2d.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RANGE, MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14	(X (GEO)	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RALISE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14  * SUMMARY WITH  5.94 COL. B	(X (GEO) 	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RANGE, MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14  * SUMMARY WITH  COL. B (X 10E5)	(X (GEO) 	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN MEAN MAX MID  MEAN	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14  * SUMMARY WITH  COL. B (X 10E5) .41	(X (GEO) 	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14  * SUMMARY WITH  COL. B (X 10E5) .41 .86	(X (GEO) 	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 26.37 1.02
	MLAN CZMEA	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E5) .38 .84 .98 .14  * SUMMARY WITH  COL. B (X 10E5) .41 .86	(X (GEO) 	(X 10L-5) 10.46 27.35 23.37 1.02

# 

			TEST I		
	compositio: For	71-2		ORGANISM: SAC	CHARGHYCLS U-3
	DOSE LEVEL: +	HIGH - 508	00 MGZKG		
	TRLATMENT: II	VIVO. OF	RAL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY & 197%
	was to the second of the secon	. Notes that the state of the s			
		A	B TOTAL CFU	C TOTAL	D RECOMB/CFU
	- AMINAL F	W CPU X	<u>.</u>		SCHLEHEL X
		E5/1.0HL	10E5/1.0ML	/1.014	106-5
		- 217.00 -			9.22
	Ž	113.00	.11	2.00	17.70 *
	3	573.00	.57	5.00	8.73
	4	627.00	.63	4.00	რ• პი
	5	344+00	•34	2.00	5.61
	6	594.00	•59	2.00	3.37
	7	521.00			1.92
	8	105.00	-10	1.00	9.52
	9	646+80	•65	3.60	44
. a . e e e .	- 10	- 255+00	• 26	1.(10)	° 3•9↓
	TOTAL		4.00	23.90	
	NO. OF ANIMAL	S EQUALS	10		
	MEAN CYMEAN E	( treate world:	5.76		
	epinemine in the second make a process and				COL. B
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10L+5)
		REAM	•40	;; <b>∙3</b> 0	7.12
	And the second s	RAHGE			15.78
		XAX	•65	r; • 0 0	17.70
		MIN	.10	1.00	1.92
			* SUMMARY WITH (	OUTLIERS REMOVE	)
# 1 1 M - W - 1993 1 1 1 1 1 1	The state of the s	And the second section of the section of	and the second s	The second se	The state of the s
and the second of the second o	… 海區AN CZMEAU 日	disk	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	अक्र प्रतास अर्थित प्रवक्क र प्रतास के के				
					COL. D
• • • •		120 AZC A E E		(X 10E0)	
		MEAN	• 43 85	ે <b>. 33</b>	5.94 7.50
		RANGE HAX	•54 -60	:•80 	<b>7.</b> 6∂ 9
	programme and the second of th	MIN	•10	1.00	1.92
		* * <b>*</b> * *	● ★ ❤	; • • •	A ▼ ✓ ***

A 71-2 OW - 30 MG/O VIVO, ORAL A (AW CFU X 0E5/1.0ML 104.00 235.00 287.00 104.00	SUBACUTE  B TOTAL CFU SCHEENED X	DATE STARTED:  C TOTAL RECOMMINANTS /1.00 2.00	D RECOMBICEU
A VIVO, ORAL  A  (AW CFU X  (E5/1.0ML  104.00  230.00  287.00  104.06	B TOTAL CFU SCHEINED X 10E5/1.0ML -10	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMBICEU SCREENED X 102-5
A (AW CFU X (E5/1.0FL (104.00 (230.00 (287.00 (104.00	B TOTAL CFU SCHEIMED X 10E5/1.0ML .10	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMBICEU SCREENED X 102-5
4AW CFU X	TOTAL CFU SCHECNED X 10E5/1.0ML .10	TOTAL -RECOMBINANTS - /1.0ML	RECOMBICEU SCREENED X 166-5
104.00 235.00 287.00	SCREUNED X 10E5/1.0ML -10	71.0ML	SCREENED X 100-5
104.00 235.00 287.00	10E5/1.0ML 	/1.0Mt	106-5
104.00 235.00 287.00	• 10	· · · · · 1.60 · · · ·	
255•00 287•00 	• 24		0.70
255•00 287•00 	• 24		The Committee of the April 1985 April 1985
287.00 -107.66		£ 4 U U	8.47
-109.06		2.00	6.97
	.11	1.00	9.17
542.00	•54	4.00	7.00
465.00	• 46	4.00	8.60
370.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.00	5.02
247.00	• ≥5	6.00	وايع • 4 ين 
140.00	• 1 5	2.00	13.70
	2.51	24.00	e de la companya de l
3 = 9,	. 55	<u> </u>	way or the same of
and the second s	···· COL. B ···	come COL C	···· coL. Ü
			(X 1UL-5)
MEAN			10.39
RATIOE	44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MAX	•54	6.00	24.29
MIN		1.00	5.32
* •	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	ED .
	247.00 140.00 .5 EGUALS .D OUT OF RAI .S = 9. MEAN .RAGGE .MAX .MIN	247.00 .25 140.00 .15  2.51  2.51  2.51  3 EGUALS 9  0.05  COL. B  (X 19E5)  MLAN .28  RAGGE .44  MAX .54  MIN .10	247.00 .25 6.00 .140.00 .15 2.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 24.00 .251 251 24.00 .251 251 24.00 .251 251 24.00 .251 251 251 24.00 .251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251

			TEST I		
	COMPOGNO:	FDA 71-2	and the second s	ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES 6.
i	BOSE LEVEL	: INTERMEDIAT	E - 2500 MG/KC	•	
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 12, 197.
a a company a management of obtains		Å.	<b>6</b>	<b>C</b>	D
		is high of Wild as	TOTAL CFU	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS	RECOMB/LEU
		- RAN CFU X	• •	/1.0ML	SCREENED X
	•	1 4 - 00	. 12	1.00	
or extens a property	2	76%.00	•13 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.00	7.55 2.02
	د ع	540.00	•54	2.00	3.70
, and a second of the second o		657.60 -		6.00	7.00
	5	213.00	•21	1.00	4.69
	6	151.00	•15	1.60	6.00
A S - D' - MAN - AND AND THE STREET OF THE STREET	7	m mulomano e	•17	1.90	5.02
	8	607.00	• 61	4.00	6.19
	9	117.00	•12	1.00	S. to
	. <b>1</b> G	246.00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		4.00
•	TOTAL		3.79	20.00	
	O. OF ANI	ALS EQUALS	.10		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
<u> </u>	MEAN CYMENT				
		and the second s	COL. B	COL. C	····· col. v
			(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 106-5)
		MEAU	.38	2.00	5.75
		FANGE			5.93
		MAX	• 86	6.0D	8.55
		M1N	•12	1.00	2.62
	40-OUTLIERS		nggaganggagannag geograpis di sa kanagan mendilan di di sebabah S	CONTRACTOR AND	
GCX-CSCBSF	55 NOA 2	72 17:10:37	USER CFU007	500	
Pabaco Yki	ORK BUT	0 1705	76   BBOCECET	NG TIME 6.	7 SELONIUS
*WVD2 TM	230 001	O E FIRES	ru ravecost	MO LYUC DO	· JECONDS

# 

gan kanalan kanalan kanganawa Marangan	- COMPOUND:	FDA 71-2	and and the second of		ORGANI, M: SAC	CHARGMYCES U-
	pose Level	: HIGH - 500	O MG/H	.G		
and the second s	TREATHENT:	IN VIVO. OF	AL. SE	BACUTE	DATE STARTED	HAY 12. 1978
The second secon	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	A	e in contract of the second	B	C	
				TAL CFU		RECOMB/CFU
		1005/1.0ML		SELMED X	RECOMBINANTS -	- SCREENED X 196-5
	and the second second second second	600 • 00 ·		• • • • • • • •	1.00	Va. 1
	ā	235.00		.23	1.60	4.66
	3	283.00		.25	1.60	3.05
		155.00		•18	1.00	5.46
	5	477.00		•48	2.00	4.19
	6	167.00		•19	1.00	5.35
The second of the second of the second		- 155×00 -		•16	1.00	り・45
	. 6	327.00		• 33	1.00	3.06
	$c_{\mathcal{F}}$	400 • 00		+47	2.00	4.23
		487.00·		• • • • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.00	6.15
	TOTAL			3.40	14.00	
	NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	10			
	MEAN C/MEAN	i B =	4.12	A CALL COMMON TO THE COMMON THE COMMON TO TH		
and the second s	namananan najark ka 11 majawa ni 1 mana ni 11 min n	and the second s		COL. B	· · · · · COL · C	COL. D
				(X 10E5)	(x 1000)	(X 101-5)
		MEAH		.34	1.40	4 . 12 14
		RAINGE		. 44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.76
		MAX		•60	3.00	6.45
		MIN	*	.16	1.00	1.67
e changes a la se se se se annual capital contract de la contract	-HO CUTLIERS				The second of th	The state of the s
C50x-0508	5F22-NOV-	72-17:10:49	- USER	-CFU007	200	
CARDS IN	236 OUT	O LINES	70	PROCESSI	NG TIME 6.	1 SECONUS
THE STATE OF THE S				The second secon	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en e
CARUS IN	236 001	U LINES	70	**************************************	MA TIME De	T SECOM

### TEST II

CO POUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TAIS39

BOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 31, 1973

	Α	Ð	C Total No.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CHU X	TOTAL CHU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/S)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	TOCOLT.OWF	% 105-8
1	97.40	16.23	<b>6.0</b> 0	• <i>4</i> 9
ć.	71.10	11.85	5.00	.42
3	91.40	15.39	5.00	.33
· i	73.30	12.22	5.00	• R (3)
5	66.10	11.02	6.00	.53
Ö	60.30	10.05	ತ <b>.0</b> 0	<b>.</b> € 6
7	42.20	7.03	6.00	·83
$\boldsymbol{e}$	67.46	11.23	5.00	.45

HO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8

	COL.	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10mg)	(X 1020)	(x 100~6)
MEAN	12.87	6.13	• <u>5</u> 5
RANGE	9.20	3.110	.53
MAX	16.23	8.0 <b>0</b>	•85
MIN	7.03	5.00	•33

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (ACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, URAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 21, 1973

	A	В	C Total No.	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU 10E7/0.6M		MUTANTS X 1000/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 106-8
i	32.40	5.40	67.00	12.41
2	<b>35.5</b> ()	5.92	94.00	15.89
3	43.60	7.27	107.00	14.72
is	55.20	9.18	85.00	9.25
52	60.00	10.00	106.00	10.80
6	52.40	8.73	124.00	14.20
7	58.10	9.66	112.00	11.57
NO. OF	ANIMALS EQUALS	ï		

NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS " 1" TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2

		COL. (X 1011)	COL. C (X 1000)	(x 105-2)
	MEAN	8.03	99.57	12.69
	RANGL	<b>4 • 6</b> 8	57.00	6.65
	MAX	10.00	124.00	15.69
SA MEMO YEDO	MIN	5.40	67.00	9.26

### TEST II

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

GOSE LEVEL: LOW - 30 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 21, 1973

	A	В	C	n
MNIMAL NURBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0-6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) % 10E=8
1	58.9	9.82	10.00	1.02
E	82.0	13.77	12.00	.87
3	37.0	6.17	6.00	1.33
4	97.6	16.2	10.00	•62
5	52.0	₹.67	10.00	1.15
b	95. ii.	19.83	15.00	.82
7	73.5	12.27	<b>0.0</b> ∉	49
હ	113.7	18.95	15.0)	.79

NO. OF ANIMAL EQUALS
HO, OF CONTINUATED EQUALS
TOTAL CFU OUT OF R NGL EQUALS

	<b>, C</b> 0 <sub>1</sub> .	Cu . C	col. D
	(» 10. °)	(% 1000)	( 10%-)
Ana N	12.71	1 .50	• 8.8
hario.	12.76	9 • ∞ 0	• 41
*18+ <b>X</b>	<b>13.</b> 95	15.00	1.3
MIN	6.17	5.00	· 141)

NO OUTLI RS

### TEST II

O POUND FUL 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMON LLA T 15%

. OLE LEVEL INTERMEDIATE - 2500 MG/KG

TRESTMENTS IN VIVOS ORAL. ACUTE DATE STARTED: FEBRUSRY 21, 973

	A	IJ	C	
ANIMAL NUO LR	RAW CFU X 10ET/0.OML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/C) A 100-9
<u>,</u>	124.7	20.78	9.0 :	.43
ε.	8 <b>5.</b> 4	14.23	14.0	• 9
S	42.5	7.13	11.0	1.50
4	54	5.7	15.0	2.63
5	94.8	15.7	24.07	1.53
ė.	<b>02.</b> 8	10.37	12.0	1.13
7	124.2	20.7	11.0	.53
ä	63.4	19.57	12.00	1.10

NO. OF ANIM L EQUALS

	CO	C∪ . C	con. B
	( , 15 )	(8 19 0)	( 100-)
5 E. #H	13.15	13.50	1.28
RONG	<b>15.</b> 5	10.00	2.2
AA <b>X</b>	20.75	24.00	2.63
MiN	5.7	4.0	_4 s

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL.	COL. C	col. o
	(a 10, )	(X 10%0)	$\{X 10E-\}$
1. Carlot	14.21	13.29	1. 4
RolliG.:	<b>13.</b> 65	15.00	1.11
Sel-X	29.76	24.00	1.54
MIN	7.13	9.00	•43

### TEST II

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TAIS30

OLE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 21. 1973

	Α	6	C	O
ANTMAL MUSSER	RAW CFU X 10E7/G.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTARITS X 1060/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/P) X 10E-3
1	88.70	14.78	9.00	•61
ĉ.	47.03	7.33	11.00	1.40
3	32.70	5.45	7.00	1.23
4	43.27	7.2.	13.00	1.81
5	70.69	11.77	5.09	.42
<b>5</b>	91.4	15.23	6.03	•53
7	62.0	13.07	9.04	.6%
ü	55.4	9.2	10.03	1.00

NO. OF AHIMAL LOUALS
NO. OF COUTAKINATED EQUALS
TOTAL CEU OUT OF R NGE ENULS

		CO	C() . C	COL. D
		Co. 100 (1)	(A 10.0)	( 10E-1
	LAN	1 . 0 .	9.70	• 3
	16 - 116 _	<b>9.</b> ∂3	ი.ა0	1.39
	$\pi s X$	15.23	13.00	1.01
	MAN	5 • ⊲ ნ	5.0	- i i i
A District				•

NO OUTLINES

### TEST II

COMPOUND: FOR 71-2

ORGANISMI SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL. ACUTE

DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 26, 1973

	A	B	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E <b>7/0.</b> 6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10EG/1.OML	FRE (C/ ) X 19E-8
1	40.20	6.70	4.00	•60
2	31.10	5.18	4.00	.77
3	32.30	5.38	5.00	•93
L <sub>i</sub> .	36.70	6.12	5.00	• ŋ⊋
5	41.10	6.85	5.00	.73
Ü	49.20	8.20	6.00	.73
7	<b>37.</b> 79	6.28	<b>3.0</b> 3	.48
8	48.40	8.07	5.00	.62

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS

COL (X 1086)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL . D (x 10E-8)
6.65	4.63	.7
3.02	3.00	•45
8.20	6.00	•93
5.1a	3.00	•40
	(x 1026) 6•65 3•02 8•20	(x 10£0) (X 10£0) 6.65 4.63 3.02 3.00 8.20 6.00

NO OUTLIERS

TOP

### TEST II

COMPOUND: FUA 71-2 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TAISSO

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG (SUBACUTE)

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 26, 1973

ANIMAL.	A RAW CHU X 10E7/0.6ML	B Total CFU X 1028/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1000/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/3) X 10E-B
1 2 4 5 6 7 6	48.30 51.80 70.89 31.70 62.20 72.69 64.00 65.40	8.05 8.63 11.73 5.28 13.70 12.10 10.67 10.93	114.00 112.00 126.00 87.00 129.00 200.00 119.00 122.00 156.00	14.16 12.97 10.95 16.47 9.42 17.02 11.16 11.16
	ADICALS EQUALS CONTINUATED EQUALS	9 5 1		
	MEAN RANGL MAX MIN	€0€. (* 1000) 10.32 8.42 13.70 5.26	COL. C (X 10±0) 159.33 119.00 205.80 67.00	COL. D (x 105-0) 12.05 7.61 17.02 9.40

TEST II

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

TOP

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

LOSE LEVEL: LOW - 30 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 26, 1973

	A	В	C	Ð
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. Mutants X 1000/1.DML	MUTATION FRE (C/R) X 10E=8
1	96.40	16.07	a•00	•%6
ć.	60.40	10.07	<b>0.0</b> 0	.65
ٽ	54.40	9.07	$8 \cdot 96$	• <b>8</b> 8
4	79.10	13.10	11.00	3.3
3	37.10	6.18	0.00	.97
6	45.10	7.52	w.00	•39
7	57.30	9.55	12.00	1.26

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CEU OUT OF RANGE EGUALS 1

	COL. D	COL. C	COL. D		
	(X 100.6)	(X 10£0)	(x 105-e)		
MEAN	10.25	a.29	• 85th		
range.	9.68	6 <b>0 0</b>	.70		
MAX	16.07	12.00	1.83		
MIN	0 + 1.0	6.00	•56		

### \* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

COL. II	COL. C	COL. O
(X 10L6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-3)
<b>10.</b> 35	7.67	•77
> <b>9.</b> €8	5.00	•41
16.07	11.00	•97
6.16	5.00	•56
	(X 10mg) 10.35 - 9.66 16.07	(X 10E6) (X 10E0) 10.35 7.67 9.88 5.00 16.07 11.00

57

### TEST II

COMPOUND: FUA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1539

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 2500 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 26, 1973

	Α	Ð	C TOTAL NO.	() }4:1 <b>7</b> :2 <b>7</b> :5:1
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0-6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0/AL	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 19E-8
1	51.10	8.52	5.00	•59
2	<b>140.</b> 50	23.42	9.00	.38
3	33.10	5.52	3.00	.54
H	51.49	8.53	5.00	94
ទ	40.60	6.77	<b>3.0</b> 0	1.18
6	40.63	6.77	9.09	1.33
7	პ <b>7.</b> ნ0	6.30	5.00	.79

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EGUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2

		COL.	COC. C	COL. D
		(A 10% C)	(X 1000)	(x 10F-3)
	MEAN	9.44	6.71	.92
	RANGE	<b>17.</b> 95	6.00	• <b>9</b> ()
	MAX	23.42	9.00	1.33
	MIN	5.52	3.00	.30
MILION WE FOR				

NO OUTLIERS

STOP

# HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET TEST II

COMPOUND: FDA 71-2

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

LOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE

DATE STARTED: FEBRUARY 26, 1973

	Α	В	C	Ü
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CHU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.00L	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
.i.	58.00	9.77	10.00	1.02
2	56.00	9.67	11.00	1.14
<b>3</b>	48.70	8.12	7.00	•B5
l÷	61.20	10.20	7.00	•69
5	3 <b>7.</b> ⊌0	14.50	ბ <b>.0</b> 0	•55
b	75.00	12.59	10.00	•80
7	78.49	13.07	9.60	•69

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 3

	COL+	CUL. C	COL. O		
	(% 10ab)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-3)		
MEAN	11.ia	8.36	•32		
RANGI.	ဗုံ•္နဲ	4.00	• <b>5</b> 4		
MAX	14.50	11.00	1.14		
MIN	8.12	7.30	•55		

MO OUTLILES

### 4. Cytogenetics

### a. <u>In vivo</u>

### (1) Acute study

The negative control group was within normal limits and the positive control group exhibited the expected severe damage due to the positive control compound TEM. All three test groups: low, medium, and high levels were within normal control values for breaks. None showed any reunions. The mitotic indices were comparable.

### (2) Subacute study

The negative control group and the three dosage level groups of this compound were all within normal limits for cells with breaks (0-6%). A subacute positive control was run in this study and the cells exhibited the expected severe damage to the chromosomes along with the depression of the mitotic index.

### b. <u>In vitro</u>

The negative control group and the three dosage groups were within normal negative control values. The positive control contained aberrations within that range expected from the positive control substance.

CYTOGENETICS SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-2

AMMONIUM SACCHARIN



FDA 71-2 ACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of <u>Cells</u>	Mitotic Index %	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunions	% Cells other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	6	3	150	9	3	0	0	3
	Saline	24	3	150	6	2	0	0	2
	Saline	48	3	150	6	0	0	0	0
Low Level	30	6	5	250	8	2	0	0	2
	30	24	5	250	9	3	0	0	3
	30	48	5	250	8	0	0	0	0
Intermediate	2500 2500 2500	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	6 6 6	· 4 0 1	- 0	0 0 0	4 0 1
High Level	5000	6	5	250	7	4	0	0	4
	5000	24	5	250	6	5	0	0	5
	5000	48	5	250	7	0	0	0	0
Positive Control (TEM)***	0.3	48	5	250	3	19	8	6 (a) 1 (p <sub>l</sub>	p) 34

<sup>\*</sup>Time of sacrifice after injection (hours).

\*\*Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

\*\*\*Acute dose only one time. Sample taken at 48 hours.

FDA 71-2 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

bis a b b b h h h

Compound	Dosage* (mg/kg)	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunions	% Cells other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	saline	3	150	5	4	0	0	4
Low	30	5	250	4	3	0	0	3
Medium	2500	5	250	4 :	4	0	0	4
High	5000	5	250	6	4	0	0	4
Positive Control (TEM)***	0.3	5	250	3	30	6	2(a) 1(pp)	39

<sup>\*</sup>Dosage lx/day x 5 days

\*\*Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

\*\*\*Acute dose only one time. Sample taken at 48 hours.

FDA 71-2 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET

Compound	Dosage** (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index	No. of Cells	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Cells with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells Other Aber.*	% Cells with Aber.
Low Level	10	1	100	2	0	0	0	2
Medium Level	100	1	100	0	0	0	O	0
High Level	1000	1	100	1	0	. 0	0	١
Negative Control	Saline	2	100	0	1	0	0	. 0
Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	1	200	10	8	0	; <b>0</b>	18

<sup>\*</sup>Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).

Cells harvested 48 hours after addition of compound

### 5. Dominant Lethal Study

### a. Acute study

Significant dose-related decreases in average implantations and <u>corpora lutea</u> were seen in the experimental groups at weeks two and three. Average resorptions showed significant dose-related increases in the experimental groups at weeks one and four. Significant differences in the proportion of females with one or more dead implants were also seen at weeks one and four.

### b. Subacute study

As seen in the acute study, average resorptions showed significant dose-related increases in the experimental groups at week one.

C. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY

SUMMARY TABLES

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-2

AMMONIUM SACCHARIN

TABLE I COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

#### PERTILITY INDEX

la de la companya de

OG OSB	ARITH	# BBK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Mg/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1.	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85
•		2	13/20=0.90	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80	16/20=0.80
-		3	18/20=0.90	15/20=0.75	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85
		4	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	16/20=0.80
		5	19/20=0.95	19/20=0.95	18/19=0.95	16/19=0.85	17/20=0.85
		5	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/19=0.90	18/20=0.90
•		7	19/20=0.95	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95	18/20=0.90
•		3	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	19/19=1.00	18/20=0.90	19/19=1.00

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II
COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL		DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	210/18=11.7	208/19=11.0	203/19=10.7	175/17=10.3	211/17=12.4
811	8 11	2	221/18=12.3	183/16=11.4	164/17= 9.7**	aad 162/16=10.1*ad	172/16=10.8
11	·	3	224/18=12.4	153/15=10.2**	DD163/17= 9.6**	aad190/18=10.6ad	198/17=11.7
-	ε !	4	202/19=10.6	169/17= 9.9	184/17=10.8	209/17=12.301	176/16=11.0
		5	223/19=11.7	210/19=11.1	212/13=11.8	164/16=10.3	181/17=10.7
		6	198/19=10.4	190/17=11.2	207/18=11.5	176/17=10.4	198/18=11.0
	ï	7	229/19=12.1	198/18=11.0	206/18=11.4	238/19=12.5	194/18=10.8
•		8	206/18=11.4	185/17=10.9	199/19=10.5	214/18=11.9	214/19=11.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST
I AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,5,3,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,5,3,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> d SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>8,!</sup> SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III
COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

## AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE.

the following the late of the

	ARITH Dose	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 Mg/kg	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1.	219/18=12.2	227/19=12.0	221/19=11.6	195/17=11.5	215/17=12.7
511	1133	2	236/18=13.1	194/16=12.1	183/17=10.8**	*aaD178/16=11. <u>1</u> **aa	D183/16=11.40D
511		3	230/18=12.8	160/15=10.7**	∌∌D169/17= 9.9*¤	*33D202/18=11.2*3D	211/17=12.4
1	11 3	4	214/19=11.3	183/17=10.8	204/17=12.0	215/17=12.7*@I	190/16=11.9
		5	234/19=12.3	215/19=11.3	227/18=12.6	173/16=10.8	187/17=11.0
		6	216/19=11.4	203/17=11.9	221/18=12.3	196/17=11.5	209/18=11.6
	i	7	241/19=12.7	212/18=11.8±D	222/18=12.3	248/19=13.1	218/18=12.1
		8	224/18=12.4	202/17=11.9	216/19=11.491	230/18=12.8	230/19=12.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST I AND b = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, \$, \$, \* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \$, \$, \* = SIGNIPICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> D SIGNIPICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV
COMPOUND 2 . STUDY ACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

ARITH DOSE	MESK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1	9/18= 0.5	19/19= 1.031	18/19= 1.0	20/17= 1.2	4/17= 0.2
	2	15/18= 0.8	11/16= 0.7	19/17= 1.1	16/16= 1.0	11/16= 0.7
	3	6/18= 0.3	7/15= 0.5	6/17= 0.4	12/13= 0.7	13/17= 0.8
	4	12/19= 0.6	14/17= 0.8	20/17= 1.2	6/17= 0.4	14/16= 0.9
	5	11/19=.0.6	5/19= 0.3	15/18= 0.8	9/15= 0.6	6/17= 0.4
	6	18/19= 1.0	13/17= 0.8	14/18= 0.8	20/17= 1.2	11/18= 0.6
	7	12/19= 0.6	14/18= 0.8	16/13= 0.9	10/19= 0.5	24/18= 1.3
	3	18/18= 1.0	17/17= 1.0	17/19= 0.9	16/18= 0.9	16/19= 0.8

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-FAILED TEST

ONE !, 3, 3, \* = SIGNIFICANT AF P LESS THAN 0.05TWO !, 8, 9, \* = SIGNIFICANT AF P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>8,!</sup> SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH Da LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V
COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

# AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL		DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
8!1	1133	1	3/18=0.17	9/19=0.4831	8/19=0.43	20/17=1.18**>>	7/17=0.42
		2	8/18=0.45	4/16=0.25	12/17=0.71	9/16=0.57	26/16=1.63**@@I
		3	11/18=0.62	2/15=0.14aD	3/17=0.1830	5/13=0.28	50/1 <b>7</b> =2.95**@@I
811	8811	4	6/19=0.32	6/17=0.36	13/17=0.77#1	21/17=1.24*@@I	33/16=2.07**@@I
i P		5	12/19=0.64	8/19=0.43	13/18=0.73	15/16=0.94	14/17=0.83
		6	10/19=0.53	9/17=0.53	12/18=0.67	12/17=3.71	9/18=0.50
i.		7	14/19=0.74	16/18=0.89	9/18=0.50	7/19=0.37	35/18=1.95aI
		8	7/18=0.39	10/17=0.59	13/19=0.69@I	7/18=0.39	30/19=1.58**@@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND & = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !,5,2,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,5,2,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*,</sup> D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROE CONTROL

<sup>8,!</sup> SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

og OSB	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL		DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1!	. 1	3/18=0.17	8/19=0.43	7/19=0.37	12/17=0.71**	6/17=0.36
•		2	6/18=0.34	4/16=0.25	11/17=0.65	7/16=0.44	12/16=0.75*
	~	3	7/18=0.39	2/15=0.14	3/17=0.18	5/18=0.28	17/17=1.00**
; ;	1	4	5/19=0.27	6/17=0.36	9/17=0.53	11/17=0.65*	11/16=0.69*
		5	8/19=0:43	5/19=0.27	10/18=0.56	7/16=0.44	7/17=0.42
		<b>5</b>	8/19=0.43	7/17=0.42	9/18=0.50	7/17=0.42	6/18=0.34
:		7	9/19=0.48	9/18=0.50	7/18=0.39	7/19=0.37	10/18=0.56
		3	5/18=0.28	7/17=0.42	12/19=0.64*	7/18=0.39	13/19=0.69*

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

## PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Mg/kg	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1!	1	0/18=0.0	1/19=0.06	1/19=0.06	5/17=3.30*	1/17=0.06
		2	2/18=0.12	0/16=0.0	1/17=0.06	2/16=0.13	10/16=0.63**
	·	3	3/18=0.17	0/15=0.0	0/17=0.0	0/18=0.0	12/17=0.71**
No. of Control of Cont	!	4	1/19=0.06	0/17=0.0	2/17=0.12	4/17=0.24	6/16=0.38*
		5	4/19=0.22	1/19=0.06	2/18=0.12	4/16=0.25	3/17=0.18
		6	2/19=0.11	2/17=0.12	3/18=0.17	4/17=0.24	2/18=0.12
		7	1/19=0.06	4/18=0.23	2/18=0.12	0/19=0.0	10/18=0.56**
		8	2/18=0.12	2/17=0.12	1/19=0.06	0/18=0.0	9/19=0.48*

SYMBOLS ON PIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII
COMPOUND 2 STUDY ACUTE

77

4 9 4

## DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1 :	3/210=0.02	9/208=0.05	8/203=0.04	20/175=0.12	7/211=0.04
2	8/221=0.04	4/183=0.03	12/164=0.08	9/162=0.06	25/172=0.16
3	11/224=0.05	2/153=0.02	3/163=0.02	5/190=0.33	50/198=0.26
4	6/202=0.33	6/169=0.04	13/184=0.08	21/209=0.11	33/176=0.19
5	12/223=0.06	8/210=0.04	13/212=0.07	15/164=0.10	14/181=0.08
6	10/198=0.06	9/190=0.05	12/207=0.06	12/176=0.07	9/198=0.05
7	14/229=0.07	16/198=0.09	9/206=0.05	7/238=0.03	35/194=0.19
8	7/205=0.04	10/185=0.06	13/199=0.07	7/214=0.04	30/214=0.15

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-PAILED TEST

<sup># =</sup> ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE \*, $\nu$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 Two \*, $\nu$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*. 5</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### FERTILITY INDEX

og Os e	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 M3/KG
		1	17/20=0.85	15/20=0.75	16/20=0.80	14/20=0.70
		2	13/20=0.90	15/20=0.75	15/20=0.75	17/20=0.85
		3	19/20=0.95	13/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80
		4	19/20=0.95	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85
		5	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	18/19=0.95	18/19=0.95
		· · <b>5</b>	13/20=0.90	16/19=0.95	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90
		7 .	23/20=1.00	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

# TABLE II COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL D 2500.000 MG/KG 5	OSE LEVEL 000.000 Mg/KG
		1	187/17=11.0	153/15=10.2	147/16= 9.2	131/14= 9.4
		2	203/18=11.3	173/15=11.5	180/15=12.0	197/17=11.6
	·	3	203/19=10.7	197/18=10.9	197/18=10.9	154/16= 9.6
		ц	209/19=11.0	221/18=12.3	211/18=11.7	201/17=11.8
		5	227/19=12.0	183/17=10.8	171/18= 9.5**a	99D217/18=12.1
	1	6	213/18=11.8	211/18=11.7	207/18=11.5	194/18=10.8
		7	206/20=10.3	184/18=10.2	197/17=11.6	192/18=10.7

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

S AND \* = TWO-FAILED TEST ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !.S. $\phi$ .\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.S. $\phi$ .\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

#### COMPOUND STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

S S E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL			DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 M3/KG
		1	197/17=11.6	164/15=10.9	167/16=10.4	142/14=10.1aD
		2	219/13=12.2	177/15=11.8	188/15=12.5	205/17=12.1
	- 1	3	214/19=11.3	205/18=11.4	198/18=11.0	164/16=10.3
		- 4	221/19=11.6	228/18=12.7	219/18=12.2	211/17=12.4
		5	237/19=12.5	197/17=11.6aD	196/18=10.9*a	D 227/18=12.6
	1	6	225/18=12.5	224/18=12.4	217/18=12.1	205/18=11.4
		7	229/20=11.5	209/18=11.6	210/17=12.4	209/18=11.6

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

 $\varepsilon$  AND \* = rwo-railed Test ! AND D = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, S, D, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !.S.2.\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

LOG DOS TABLE IV
COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG ARITH OSE DOSE WEEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG 5000.000 MG/KG
1	10/17= 0.6	11/15= 0.7	20/16= 1.3 11/14= 0.8
2	16/18= 0.9	4/15= 0.3aD	8/15= 0.5 8/17= 0.5
<b>3</b>	11/19= 0.6	8/13= 0.4	1/18= 0.1*@@D 10/16= 0.6
<b>a</b>	12/19= 0.6	7/13= 0.4	8/18= 0.4 10/17= 0.6
Š	10/19=-0.5	14/17= 0.8	25/18= 1.4 10/18= 0.6
5	12/18= 0.7	13/18= 0.7	10/18= 0.6 11/18= 0.6
7	23/20= 1.2	25/18= 1.4	13/17= 0.8 17/18= 0.9

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

S AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND 3 = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, 5, 0, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 Two !, 5, 0, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, b SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE V 2 STUDY SUBACUTE COMPOUND

# AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT PEMALE

OG OS E	A RI DOS		w eek	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 MG/KG
811	<b>&amp;</b> !	! !	1	4/17=0.24	6/15=0.40	10/16=0.63**1	11/14=0.79*@@I
			2	6/18=0.34	8/15=0.54	10/15=0.67	6/17=0.36
			3	10/19=0.53	6/18=0.34	12/18=0.67	11/16=0.69
		!	4	9/19=0.48	17/18=0.95	7/18=0.39	4/17=0.24
			5	13/19=0-69	8/17=0.48	10/18=0.56	11/18=0.62
			5	9/18=0.50	19/18=1.06*@I	5/18=0.34	10/18=0.56
			7	9/20=0.45	13/18=0.73	6/17=0.36	4/18=0.23

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND \* = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND a = ONE-PAILED TEST

ONE !, &, D, \* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, S, D, \* = SIGNIFICANT AP P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8, 1 SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLIMN)

# TABLE VI COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG	ARITH DOSE	MBEK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30-000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 MG/KG
1	!	1	4/17=0.24	6/15=0.40	9/16=0.57	9/14=0.65*
-		2	5/18=0.28	6/15=0.40	6/15=0.40	4/17=0.24
E Composition of the Composition		3	7/19=0.37	6/18=0.34	9/18=0.50	5/16=0.32
		4	7/19=0.37	7/18=0.39	5/18=0.28	3/17=0.18
1.		5	9/19=0.48	6/17=0.36	5/18=0.28	8/18=0.45
		5	6/18=0.34	12/18=0.67*	6/18=0.34	8/18=0.45
		7	3/20=0.15	10/18=0.56**	6/17=0.36	4/18=0.23

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

# TABLE VII COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

# PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WBBK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 Hg/KG
	!	1	0/17=0.0	0/15=0.0	1/16=0.07	2/14=0.15
		2	1/18=0.06	2/15=0.14	3/15=0.20	1/17=0.06
		3	2/19=0.11	0/18=0.0	3/18=0.17	3/16=0.19
		tt	2/19=0.11	4/18=0.23	2/18=0.12	1/17=0.06
		5	4/19=0,22	2/17=0.12	3/18=0.17	2/18=0.12
		6	1/18=0.06	6/18=0.34*	0/18=0.0	2/18=0.12
!	!	7 .	3/20=0.15	3/18=0.17	0/17=0.0	0/18=0.0

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,\* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

<sup>\*</sup> SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>!</sup> SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

# TABLE VIII COMPOUND 2 STUDY SUBACUTE

#### DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

SERK	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 30.000 Mg/kg	DOSE LEVEL 2500.000 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 5000.000 MG/KG
1	4/187=0.03	6/153=0.04	10/147=0.07	11/131=0.09
2	6/203=0.03	8/173=0.05	10/180=0.06	6/197=0.04
3	10/203=0.05	6/197=0.04	12/197=0.07	11/154=0.08
4	9/209=0.05	17/221=0.08	7/211=0.04	4/201=0.02
5	13/227=0.06	8/183=0.05	10/171=0.06	11/217=0.06
6	9/213=0.05	19/211=0.10	6/207=0.03	10/194=0.06
7	9/206=0.05	13/184=0.08	6/197=0.04	4/192=0.03

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE \*,  $\vartheta$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO \*,  $\vartheta$  = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

\*, 3 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

<sup>\* =</sup> TWO-TAILED TEST

<sup>0 =</sup> ONE-TAILED TEST

#### **APPENDICES**

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. <u>Animal Husbandry</u>

### Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water ad libitum until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

#### 2. Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

## 3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

### B. Dosage Determination

1. Acute  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  and  ${\rm LD}_{5}$  Determination Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in



the food additive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a  $LD_{50}$  or a  $LD_{5}$  would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a  $LD_{50}$  or a  $LD_{5}$  could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the  $LD_{5}$  level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a  $LD_{5}$ , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10X) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the  ${\rm LD}_{50}$  determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of  $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ ,  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$ , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite  $\mathrm{LD}_{5}$  or 30 mg/kg.

#### 2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

## C. <u>Mutagenicity Testing Protocols</u>

### Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for <a href="Salmonella">Salmonella</a>. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



mice. Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0 x  $10^8$ cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0 x  $10^8$  cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on Salmonella were on tryptone yeast extract and for Saccharomyces on yeast complete medium.

#### a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and  $\mathrm{LD}_5$ ) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x  $10^8$  cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial



dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) vielding a concentration series from  $10^{0}$  (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through  $10^{-7}$ . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$  dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the  $10^0$  dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from  $10^{0}$  to  $10^{-5}$ . Samples of 0.1 ml of the  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ , and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 40 hours. The  $10^{-5}$ dilutions were used to determine total populations and the  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor ( $10^{0} - 10^{-7}$ ) = CFU/ml in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

MF = total mutant cells total population

 $MFt/MFc = \frac{MF \text{ of experimental sample}}{MF \text{ of control sample}}$ 

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive <u>ade 2</u>, <u>his 8</u> homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the  $10^{-5}$  dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:

RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

### b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

### c. In vitro study

Cultures of <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs

(G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth. Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation. Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported

as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ $10^5$  survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no LD<sub>50</sub> was determinable.

#### 2. Cytogenetic Studies

### a. <u>In vivo</u> study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

#### Number of Animals Used

#### Acute Study

Treatment	Time Killed	After Admini	stration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	5
Intermediate Level	5	5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3

## Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

Treatment	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3

All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO<sub>2</sub>, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:1 absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended and placed at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm  $\pm$  0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations



were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 mu interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks, chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

# b. <u>In vitro</u> study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsin, centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2 x  $10^6$  cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 μg/ml of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5 x  $10^5$  cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5 x  $10^5$  cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24 - 48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

### 3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using  ${\rm CO}_2$  at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

- D. <u>Supplementary Materials and Methods</u>
  - Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae
    - a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests

This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at 30°C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide  $5 \times 10^7$  cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- (3) The test chemical was first tested for 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-5}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$  dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per  $10^5$  survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
  - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
    Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



# 4X Salt Solution:

 $(NH_4) SO_4$ 

8.0 gm

 $K_2HPO_4$ 

56.0 gm

KH2PO4

24.0 gm

Na Citrate

4.0 gm

Mg SO<sub>1</sub>

0.8 gm

Biotin

0.004 gm

 $H_2O$ 

qs to 1 liter

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C/15 min.)

## Medium:

4X Salt Solution

:250 ml

5.0% Glucose (sterile)

:100 ml (If histidine is added at concentration of 30

mg/liter, this becomes a complete bacterial

medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar (sterile)

:650 ml

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

Bacto-Tryptone

1.0 gm

Yeast-Extract .

0.5 gm

Bacto-Agar

2.0 gm

Distilled H<sub>2</sub>0

100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

Complete medium (yeast): e.

KH2PO4

1.5 gm

MgS0<sub>4</sub>

0.5 gm

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ 

4.5 gm

Peptone 3.5 gm

Yeast-Extract 5.0 gm

Glucose 20.0 gm

Agar 20.0 gm

Distilled H<sub>2</sub>0 1000.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. One convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 x 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5%  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

  The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
  - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

- c. Total number of <u>corpora lutea</u>

  The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of <u>corpora lutea</u> per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.
  - d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea. Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as pre-

implantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

g. Two or more dead implants

The proportion of females with two or more dead implants computed was treated same as above (f).

h. Dead implants per total implants

Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.

# MODEL

Females within !ales within Groups

A SUMPTIONS:

hales are randomly drawn from infinite population

<u>8.U.</u>	d.f.	<u> </u>	MS	E(ME)	<b>,</b>
TOTAL	.39	552 (yisk - y)2			
GROUPS MALES		20E (Ji J)2	S,*	6+26272026	157
WITHIN GROUPS	.18	عدد (الله - الله - الله الله الله الله الله ا		02+202	5
EMAINDER	20.			o*	-1.

#### E. References

- 1. Host-Mediated Assay
  - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:
    Nature, 221:68, 1969.
  - Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:Science, 163:689, 1969.
  - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med., 130:831, 1969.
  - d. Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.: Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
  - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

    <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

    Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

#### 2. Cytogenetics

- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- b. Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u>
  <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W.
  Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis,
  pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in, <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, 165:1139, 1969.



## Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., <u>1</u>:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, 210:205, 1966.
- c. Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H.V.:
  Mut. Res., 5:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>:385, 1968.

## F. Abbreviations

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. q = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High =  $LD_5$  if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16.  $LD_{50}$  = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17.  $LD_5$  = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level)
- 25. SA LD<sub>5</sub> = subacute LD<sub>5</sub> level (MTD level, high level)
- 26.  $CO_2$  = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 3]. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of Saccharomyces
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec x  $10^5$  = mitotic recombinants x  $10^5$
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43.  $\chi^2$  = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

